

# Information from the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar

**This English translation is for information  
purposes only and is not legally binding.**

Academic Regulations

|   |   |               |                           |
|---|---|---------------|---------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> The President<br><input type="checkbox"/> The Chancellor | <b>Habilitation Regulations<br/>of the<br/>Bauhaus-Universität Weimar</b> |               | Issue<br>54/2019          |
|   | Issued Dep./Unit<br>BdP   | Phone<br>1110 | Date<br>13 September 2019 |

Pursuant to §§ 3 Para 1, 62 Para 7 and 137 Para 2 Sentence 2 of the Thuringian Higher Education Act (ThürHG) of 10 May 2018 (GVBl. p. 149), last amended by Article 128 of the Act of 18 December 2018 (GVBl. p. 731) in conjunction with § 33 Para 1 No 1 ThürHG in the version of 13 September 2016 (GVBl. p. 437), the Senate of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar passed the following Habilitation Regulations on 4 September 2019; the President of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar approved the Habilitation Regulations on 13 September 2019.

## I Habilitation law

### § 1 Legal principles

(1) Habilitation serves to formally establish the qualified ability to conduct independent research and teaching in the chosen subject area. The applicant obtains teaching qualifications on this basis.

(2) The habilitation performance shall consist of a habilitation thesis pursuant to § 8 and the lectures pursuant to § 12.

(3) The faculties are responsible for implementing the habilitation procedure. A faculty shall only habilitate in subjects that are represented by professors at the faculty.

(4) Through the faculties listed below, the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar confers the right to use the degree of doctor with the addition »habil.« pursuant to § 61 Para 2 ThürHG as follows:

|                                       |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Faculty of Architecture and Urbanism: | Dr.-Ing. habil. and Dr. phil. habil.;   |
| Faculty of Civil Engineering:         | Dr.-Ing. habil., Dr. rer. nat. habil. and Dr. rer. pol. habil.;                     |
| the Faculty of Art and Design:        | Dr. phil. habil.;   |
| Faculty of Media:                     | Dr. phil. habil., Dr. rer. nat. habil. and Dr.-Ing. habil.,<br>Dr. rer. pol. habil. |

Holders of non-doctoral habilitation receive the academic title of »Dr. habil.« Doctoral graduates with another degree of a doctor receive the academic title »Dr. habil.« and list this alongside their doctoral degree.

(5) Granting of the authorisation to teach requires habilitation. Habilitated persons may be granted the right to teach independently if the teaching activity is expected to enrich the university's range of courses. Granting of the authorisation to teach is associated with the right to use the titles »Privatdozentin« or »Privatdozent« (private lecturer, PD).

(6) Within the framework of these Habilitation Regulations, professors who are not habilitated but are appointed pursuant to § 84 Para 1 and 2 ThürHG shall be treated in the same manner as habilitated professors with regard to participation in habilitation procedures.

## **II Admission to habilitation**

### **§ 2 Admissions requirements**

(1) Admission to habilitation requires:

1. A doctoral degree from a German university or equivalent institution of higher education or an equivalent degree from a foreign academic institution of higher education, usually in a subject area of the habilitating faculty
2. Proof of academic qualification for the subject area in which the habilitation is to be obtained through additional scientific achievements
3. As a rule, proof of several years of postdoctoral activity in research and teaching in the subject area for which the habilitation is sought

The doctoral degree pursuant to No 1 may be replaced by a comparable academic qualification (§ 62 Para 3 Sentence 1 ThürHG). The Faculty Board shall decide on equivalence pursuant to § 3 Para 4 in the context of the admission examination for habilitation.

(2) Anyone who has applied for habilitation procedure elsewhere for the same subject area or who has completed such a procedure unsuccessfully may not be admitted to the habilitation procedure.

(3) A fee pursuant to the applicable scale of fees of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar is payable for habilitation.

### **§ 3 Application for admission to habilitation**

(1) The applicant shall apply in writing to the Dean of the competent faculty for admission to the habilitation procedure. The subject area for which the habilitation is being sought must be specified.

(2) The following documents must be included with the application:

1. Four copies of the habilitation thesis
2. A curriculum vitae written in German that provides information about the applicant's academic career in particular
3. A written declaration pursuant to Appendix 2 acknowledging these Habilitation Regulations and stating that the habilitation thesis was written independently and without unauthorised aid
4. A written declaration that a habilitation procedure for the same subject area has neither been applied for elsewhere nor completed unsuccessfully
5. Certificates and proof of the required previous education, in particular the leaving certificates of the universities and the doctoral degree certificate or proof of the equivalent academic qualification; certificates may be submitted in the form of certified copies
6. A list of scientific publications and academic lectures
7. A list of the teaching tasks performed and, if applicable, the results of teaching evaluations
8. A proposal of three topics, which may neither overlap with each other nor with the topic of the habilitation thesis, for the lecture pursuant to § 12
9. An official certificate of good conduct, provided that the applicant is neither a member of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar nor a member of the civil service
10. The receipt for the habilitation fee paid

(3) If the documents are incomplete, the Dean shall request their completion by setting a deadline. If the deficiency is not remedied before the deadline, the Dean shall provide written notice of rejection of the application as inadmissible.

(4) The Faculty Board takes the decision regarding admission and opens the habilitation procedure by appointing the Habilitation Committee.

(5) The Dean issues a written notice to the applicant regarding admission or rejection.

(6) The application for admission to the habilitation procedure may be withdrawn as long as the Faculty Board has not yet taken a decision on the admission. If the application is withdrawn at a later date, the habilitation procedure shall be deemed to have ended unsuccessfully. The Dean will provide written notice of this to the applicant.

## **§ 4 Application for granting of the authorisation to teach**

If, in addition to habilitation, the applicant is also seeking the granting of the authorisation to teach (*venia legendi*) pursuant to § 62 Para 5 ThürHG, they may also submit an application for the granting of the authorisation to teach together with the application for admission to habilitation.

### **III Habilitation Committee**

#### **§ 5 Composition of the Habilitation Committee**

(1) The Faculty Board of the competent faculty shall form a Habilitation Committee to carry out the habilitation. The members are:

1. The habilitated members of the faculty as well as the members of the Faculty Board with recognised habilitation equivalence
2. The assessors pursuant to § 9 Para 1
3. If required by the proposed field of habilitation, other professors of the faculty and of neighbouring faculties who are appointed as members by the Faculty Board of the habilitating faculty on the proposal of the Dean of the neighbouring faculty
4. Professors of the faculty who are not members of the Faculty Board and who submit their own written assessment of the habilitation thesis; after submitting their assessment, they become voting members of the Habilitation Committee

(2) When forming the Habilitation Committee, the Faculty Board appoints two members of the committee as assessors to determine the required didactic quality of the courses.

(3) The participation rights of professors are not affected by emeritus status and retirement.

(4) The Dean typically serves as the chair of the Habilitation Committee. If the Dean does not assume the chair, a person from the group of persons named in Para 1 No 1 shall chair the Habilitation Committee; in this case, the Faculty Board shall make the selection. Anyone who is active in the Habilitation Committee as an assessor may not assume the position of chair of the committee.

#### **§ 6 Tasks of the Habilitation Committee**

(1) The Habilitation Committee is responsible for the following tasks in particular:

1. Evaluation of the habilitation thesis on the basis of the assessments
2. Selection of the topic for the lecture pursuant to § 12
3. Grading of lectures
4. Determination of pedagogical aptitude

(2) All decisions taken by the Habilitation Committee, in particular those concerning conditions for the elimination of deficiencies in the habilitation thesis and those concerning lectures, shall be recorded in a procedural protocol pursuant to Appendix 3.

(3) The members of the Habilitation Committee are obliged to maintain secrecy about facts that have become known to them in connection with their activities in the committee.

#### **§ 7 Resolutions of the Habilitation Committee**

(1) The Habilitation Committee shall constitute a quorum if the majority of the voting members, but at least four voting committee members, participate in the deliberations. The deliberations shall take place in closed session.

(2) Decisions shall be taken by a majority of the votes of those present. Abstentions and secret ballots are not permitted. If the number of votes is equal, the chair's vote is the deciding factor.

(3) The chair of the Habilitation Committee shall communicate decisions to the applicant in writing.

## **IV Written habilitation performance**

### **§ 8 Habilitation thesis**

- (1) The habilitation thesis must originate from the subject area for which the habilitation is applied for. It must demonstrate that the applicant is capable of independent research and represent a significant contribution to scientific knowledge.
- (2) The habilitation thesis usually consists of an unpublished scientific paper, but it may also consist of several published or unpublished academic papers (cumulative habilitation). In exceptional cases, the Faculty Board of the competent faculty may permit the submission of a previously published paper by the applicant as a habilitation thesis, provided that this paper meets the requirements of Para 1. Papers that the applicant has submitted for other academic examinations may not be submitted as habilitation theses. The habilitation thesis shall be provided with a cover sheet pursuant to Appendix 1.
- (3) The habilitation thesis should be written in German. Exceptions to this rule require the approval of the Faculty Board. If the habilitation thesis is written in a foreign language, it shall be accompanied by an abstract in German.

### **§ 9 Assessment of the habilitation thesis**

- (1) The Faculty Board shall appoint at least two assessors to review the habilitation thesis based on the proposal of the chair of the Habilitation Committee. The Faculty Board may delegate the task of appointment of the assessors to the Habilitation Committee.
- (2) One assessor must be a member of the faculty in which the habilitation takes place. Additional assessors may be members of other faculties of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar or other academic institutions. At least one assessor must be a member of or affiliated with another university or equivalent institution of higher education or another academic institution. The assessors must be habilitated professors or professors with recognised habilitation equivalence.
- (3) After the habilitation procedure is opened, the chair of the Habilitation Committee submits the habilitation thesis to the assessors with the request to provide an assessment. The assessments must propose and justify the acceptance or rejection of the written habilitation thesis. They may also make acceptance of the thesis dependent on the rectification of deficiencies. The assessments shall be prepared within twelve weeks and made available to the members of the Habilitation Committee without delay thereafter.
- (4) If the number of votes for accepting or rejecting the habilitation thesis is equal, another assessment is to be obtained. A habilitation thesis cannot be accepted if it is not recommended by the majority of assessors.

### **§ 10 Inspection period**

After submission of assessments that are positive in the majority at a minimum, the habilitation thesis shall be made available to the professors and habilitated members of the faculty for inspection for four weeks. The Dean shall inform these parties in good time that the work is available for inspection. During the inspection period, all professors and habilitated members of the faculty are entitled to comment in writing on the habilitation thesis.

### **§ 11 Decision of the Habilitation Committee on the written performance**

- (1) After expiry of the deadline for submission, the Habilitation Committee shall decide on the acceptance or rejection of the habilitation thesis on the basis of the requested assessments and the additional assessments submitted pursuant to § 5 Para 1 No 4. The committee may also decide to return the thesis for rectification of deficiencies.
- (2) The chair of the Habilitation Committee shall notify the applicant of the decision of the Habilitation Committee without delay. If the applicant is required to remedy deficiencies, the chair of the committee shall set a deadline of a maximum of one year within which the habilitation thesis must be revised. The Habilitation Committee shall take a final decision once the deadline expires. The provisions in regard to the assessment shall apply in the same manner. Until the deficiencies have been rectified, the procedure shall be deemed to be interrupted.

(3) After the decision of the Habilitation Committee has been taken in regard to the acceptance or rejection of the habilitation thesis, the applicant has the right to inspect the assessments of the habilitation thesis.

(4) If the habilitation thesis is rejected, the procedure shall be deemed to have ended unsuccessfully.

## **V Oral habilitation performance**

### **§ 12 Academic lecture with colloquium**

(1) If the habilitation thesis is accepted, the applicant is admitted to the academic lecture with colloquium. For this purpose, the Habilitation Committee shall select one of the topics submitted pursuant to § 3 Para 2 No 8.

(2) The chair of the Habilitation Committee shall inform the applicant of the date and topic of the academic lecture. The lecture shall take place within six weeks of acceptance of the habilitation thesis.

(3) The Dean invites the members of the Habilitation Committee and the professors and habilitated members of the faculty to the academic lecture with colloquium. The Dean shall notify the President and the deans of the other faculties. The chair of the Habilitation Committee chairs the colloquium, which takes place in a manner open to the university public.

(4) In the academic lecture with colloquium, the applicant must demonstrate comprehensive knowledge in the subject area of the habilitation, the ability to engage in scientific discussion and the didactic quality of their teaching.

(5) The academic lecture with colloquium is divided into a presentation and a subsequent scientific discussion. Only scientific questions are admissible; these may relate to the entire subject area for which the habilitation is applied. The chair of the Habilitation Committee takes the decision on admissibility.

(6) The academic lecture and the colloquium are usually held in German; the Habilitation Committee can decide exceptions to this rule.

### **§ 13 Decision of the Habilitation Committee on the oral performance**

(1) Immediately after the lecture, the Habilitation Committee, taking into account the opinions of the assessors pursuant to § 5 Para 2 on the didactic quality of the applicant's teaching, shall decide whether the performance has met the requirements pursuant to § 12 Para 4 and shall decide on a recommendation for or against the granting of the habilitation.

(2) If the Habilitation Committee rejects the applicant's lecture, the academic lecture with colloquium may be repeated once and after six months at the earliest but within twelve months at the latest. The habilitation procedure is interrupted until the academic colloquium is repeated.

(3) The chair of the Habilitation Committee shall immediately notify the applicant in writing about the decision pursuant to Para 1 or 2.

(4) If the academic lecture with colloquium is unsuccessful again, the habilitation procedure shall be deemed to have ended unsuccessfully.

### **§ 14 Trial lecture**

(1) After a successful academic lecture with colloquium, the applicant is required to give a lecture that is open to the public at the university. They shall designate for the Dean a topic from the subject area of the habilitation.

(2) The Dean shall set the date for the lecture together with the applicant and invite all members and affiliates of the university to attend.

(3) The lecture should be held in the semester following the academic lecture with colloquium at the latest.

(4) At the applicant's request, the Faculty Board may waive the trial lecture if their pedagogical aptitude can be assessed on the basis of lectures they are giving or have given at the university in the academic field for which they are seeking the teaching qualification.

## **VI Conclusion of the procedure**

### **§ 15 Granting of the habilitation**

(1) The chair of the Habilitation Committee shall inform the Faculty Board of the recommendation of the Habilitation Committee pursuant to § 13 Para 1. The Faculty Board takes the decision regarding the granting of the habilitation. The Dean informs the applicant.

(2) With the written notification of the granting of the habilitation, the Dean shall at the same time request that the applicant submit mandatory copies of the habilitation thesis for the purpose of documentation in the University Library. In addition to the copies required pursuant to § 3 Para 1 No 1, the author must also submit the following, free of charge, to the University Library:

- One copy in electronic form in a data format intended for this purpose and six bound copies to the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar
- Three copies if the habilitation thesis is published in a journal or sold by bookshops, with the publication having to be marked as a habilitation thesis of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar. In these cases, at least 150 copies must be published.

If the habilitation thesis is not available electronically, an electronic summary must be provided.

(3) Once the mandatory copies of the habilitation thesis have been deposited, the applicant shall be issued a certificate pursuant to Appendix 4, signed by the president and the Dean of the competent faculty, confirming the successful completion of the habilitation and specifying the subject area. The certificate shall include the date of the decision of the Faculty Board pursuant to Para 1.

### **§ 16 Granting of the authorisation to teach**

(1) If the applicant has also applied for the granting of the authorisation to teach (*venia legendi*) pursuant to § 4, the Faculty Board shall take a decision on the granting of the authorisation to teach at the meeting at which the decision on the granting of the habilitation is taken pursuant to § 15 Para 1.

(2) If the applicant has also been granted the authorisation to teach, they shall be issued a certificate signed by the President and the Dean of the competent faculty pursuant to Appendix 5, which, in addition to the successful completion of the habilitation and their subject area, also shows the authorisation to teach. The certificate shall include the date of the decision of the Faculty Board pursuant to Para 1.

(3) The granting of the authorisation to teach is associated with the obligation to teach independently to the extent of at least two semester hours per week. In justified exceptional cases, the Faculty Board may exempt the private lecturer from this obligation upon request.

### **§ 17 Inspection of the procedural documents**

After completion of the procedure, the applicant has the right to inspect the habilitation documents.

## VII Extension of habilitation and the authorisation to teach, rehabilitation

### § 18 Extension of habilitation

Upon application by a habilitated member of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar, the subject area of their habilitation may be extended or supplemented if the applicant can demonstrate additional academic achievements in research and teaching in the subject area concerned after their habilitation. The decision on the application shall be taken by the Faculty Board, extended to include all habilitated persons of the competent faculty, by a majority of the votes of those present. § 1 Para 6 shall remain unaffected by this. In the event of a positive decision, the applicant shall receive a certificate pursuant to § 15 Para 4.

### § 19 Extension of the authorisation to teach

If the applicant has already been granted the authorisation to teach (*venia legendi*), the extension or addition to the habilitation shall also extend to the authorisation to teach. In this case, if the decision is in the applicant's favor, they shall receive a certificate pursuant to § 16 Para 2.

### § 20 Rehabilitation

A habilitation obtained at another university or equivalent institution of higher education in Germany or abroad can be recognised at the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar in a shortened habilitation procedure, in which compliance with the provisions of §§ 8 to 13 can be waived by resolution of the Faculty Board (rehabilitation). In these cases, the rehabilitation is the prerequisite for the granting of the authorisation to teach (*venia legendi*) at the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar.

## VIII Withdrawal of habilitation, lapse and revocation of the authorisation to teach

### § 21 Withdrawal of the habilitation

The habilitation may be withdrawn if it comes to light that it was obtained by deception or if facts become known which would have precluded the granting of the habilitation. The decision shall be taken by the Faculty Board of the competent faculty after it has given the habilitated person the opportunity to comment. These decisions shall be recorded and communicated in writing to the person concerned.

### § 22 Expiry and revocation of the authorisation to teach

- (1) The authorisation to teach shall expire
  1. By written renunciation to the Dean
  2. By withdrawal of the habilitation pursuant to § 21
  3. By conviction in ordinary criminal proceedings before a German court if the sentence would result in the loss of civil servant rights if the subject of the proceedings is a civil servant
- (2) The authorisation to teach may be revoked
  1. If a private lecturer has not carried out any teaching activities for one year for reasons for which they are responsible, unless they have reached the age of 62
  2. If there is a reason that would justify the withdrawal of the appointment to civil servant status if the person concerned is a civil servant.
- (3) The right to use the title »*Privatdozentin*« or »*Privatdozent*« (private lecturer) shall also lapse upon expiry or revocation of the authorisation to teach. The certificate under § 16 Para 2 shall be withdrawn.
- (4) The decision to revoke the authorisation to teach shall be taken by the Faculty Board of the competent faculty after it has given the person concerned the opportunity to comment.

## **IX »Außerplanmäßiger Professoren«/»außerplanmäßige Professorinnen« (»associate professors«)**

### **§ 23 Conferment of the honorific of »außerplanmäßiger Professor«/»außerplanmäßige Professorin« (»associate professor«)**

On recommendation of the Senate, the President may confer the honorific of »außerplanmäßiger Professor«/»außerplanmäßige Professorin« (»associate professor«) on a private lecturer, usually after they have proven their worth in research and teaching over a period of five years; conferment of the honorific is associated with the right to use the academic title of »außerplanmäßiger Professor«/»außerplanmäßige Professorin« (»apl. Prof.«, »associate professor«).

### **§ 24 Revocation of the honorific of »außerplanmäßiger Professor«/»außerplanmäßige Professorin« (»associate professor«)**

If the person concerned is a civil servant, conferment of the honorific of »außerplanmäßiger Professor«/»außerplanmäßige Professorin« (»associate professor«) may be revoked for reasons that lead to the removal of a civil servant from service. In the event of termination of teaching activities, the President shall take a decision on the right to continue the academic title of »außerplanmäßiger Professor«/»außerplanmäßige Professorin« (»apl. Prof.«, »associate professor«) at the request of the competent faculty.

## **X Legal redress**

### **§ 25 Appeal process**

(1) Decisions affecting the applicant shall be communicated to them in writing with statement of the reasons. Instructions on how to submit an appeal shall be included.

(2) The applicant may appeal all decisions concerning them pursuant to Para 1 within one month of receipt of the decision notification.

(3) The Faculty Board of the competent faculty takes the decision regarding the appeal. If it decides not to overturn the original decision, the President shall take the final decision.

## **XI Formal provisions**

### **§ 26 Equal opportunity clause**

The status and role designations used in these Habilitation Regulations apply equally to all genders.

### **§ 27 Entry into force**

These regulations come into effect on the day following their announcement by the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar. At the same time, the Habilitation Regulations of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar of 10 September 2010 (MdU 08/2010 pp. 60 to 68) shall cease to apply. In derogation from Sentence 2, the Habilitation Regulations mentioned in Sentence 2 shall continue to apply to habilitation procedures in progress at the time of the entry into force of the regulations pursuant to Sentence 1.

Weimar, 04 September 2019

Prof. Dr. Winfried Speitkamp  
President

The statutes are subject to approval.

Dipl.-Jur. R. Junghanß, Legal  
Adviser



Approved:  
Weimar, 13 September 2019

Prof. Dr. Winfried Speitkamp  
President

## Appendix 1

Cover sheet of the habilitation thesis

(Title of the habilitation thesis)

Habilitation thesis submitted on ...  
of the faculty .....

of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar by  
(academic title, first name, surname), born on .....  
in.....

Back of the cover sheet (bottom) Assessor

1. ...

2. ...

3. ...

Granting of habilitation on (remains **blank**)

## Appendix 2

### Plagiarism declaration

I hereby declare that I am aware of the Habilitation Regulations of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar dated ....

I also declare that I have produced the enclosed work without the impermissible aid of third parties or the use of resources other than those stated. The data and concepts taken directly or indirectly from other sources have been marked with these sources.

In the selection and analysis of the following materials, the people listed below have assisted me as described below for a fee/at no charge:

1. ...

2. ...

3. ...

No other people were involved in the preparation of the content/materials of this work. In particular, I have not made use of the paid assistance of mediation or advisory services for this purpose. No one has received from me direct or indirect benefits in kind for work relating to the content of the presented work.

The work has not to date been presented in Germany or other countries in the same or similar form to another examination authority.

I certify to the best of my knowledge that I have spoken the whole truth and have not withheld anything.

Weimar, ...

Signature

protocols

Opening of the habilitation procedure

The Faculty Board .....  
of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar has decided at its meeting on that  
Mr/Ms.....,  
born on .....in .....,  
with the habilitation thesis

.....  
.....  
.....  
is to be admitted to habilitation.

The applicant has – not\* – applied for the authorisation to teach.

\* Please delete if not applicable

The Faculty Board appoints the following persons as assessors for the habilitation thesis:  
.....  
.....  
.....

As assessors for the didactic quality of the courses:  
.....  
.....

As members of the Habilitation Committee:  
.....  
.....  
.....

As chair of the Habilitation Committee:  
.....

Weimar, .....  
(Dean) .....

Availability for inspection of the habilitation thesis pursuant to § 10 Para 6 of the Habilitation Regulations from ..... to ..... During the inspection period, the following persons prepared additional assessments:

.....  
.....  
.....

Protocol  
of the meeting of the Habilitation Committee on

..... Location:

.....

, Start: ..... End: .....

Present:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Excused:

.....  
.....

Absent:

.....  
.....

Short report:

The Habilitation Committee takes the following decision pursuant to § 10 Para 7 of the Habilitation Regulations:

.....  
.....

The Habilitation Committee makes the following stipulations for the academic lecture with colloquium:

.....  
.....

, Weimar, .....

(Chair)

Minutes  
of the academic lecture with colloquium on

.....  
Location .....  
Start: ..... End: .....

Protocol Participation of the members of the Habilitation  
Committee: Present:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Excused:  
.....  
.....

Absent:  
.....  
.....

Topic of the lecture:  
.....  
.....

Short report:

The Habilitation Committee shall take the following decision pursuant to § 14 of the Habilitation Regulations:

.....  
.....

Weimar, .....  
(Chair)

Completion of the habilitation procedure

The Faculty Board .....  
of the Bauhaus-Universität Weimar has decided at its meeting on to grant  
Mr/Ms

.....,

habilitation  
and the authorisation to teach (*venia legendi*) pursuant to § 55 Para 5 of the Thuringian Higher  
Education Act (ThürHG)\*  
for the subject area .....

Weimar, .....  
(Chair)

\* Delete as applicable

Certificate of public lecture topic:

.....,  
.....,

Location:

.....,

Time:

.....,

Weimar, .....  
(Chair)

**Bauhaus-Universität Weimar**

THE BAUHAUS-UNIVERSITÄT WEIMAR, THROUGH THE FACULTY  
.....,  
DURING THE TERM OF OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
... AND  
OF THE DEAN ...,

CONFERS UPON DR. ...

**(First Name, Last Name)**

BORN ON ... IN ...,

BASED ON THEIR HABILITATION THESIS

**»Virtual Sample«**

AND THEIR LECTURE ON

**»Visions of Virtual Samples«,**

HABILITATION FOR THE SUBJECT AREA

**»Sample«**

THEY ARE ENTITLED TO ADD THE DESIGNATION *»HABILITATUS«*  
(*»HABIL.«*) TO THEIR ACADEMIC TITLE DR. ....

WEIMAR, (DATE OF THE FACULTY BOARD RESOLUTION)

THE PRESIDENT

THE DEAN



**Bauhaus-Universität Weimar**

THE BAUHAUS-UNIVERSITÄT WEIMAR, THROUGH THE FACULTY  
.....,  
DURING THE TERM OF OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
... AND  
OF THE DEAN ...,

CONFERS UPON DR. ...

**(First Name, Last Name)**

BORN ON ... IN ...,

BASED ON THEIR HABILITATION THESIS

**»Virtual Sample«**

AND THEIR LECTURE ON

**»Visions of Virtual Samples«,**

HABILITATION FOR THE SUBJECT AREA

**»Sample«**

AND GRANTS THEM THE RIGHT TO WORK AS A *PRIVATDOZENT(IN)* (PRIVATE LECTURER) AT THE BAUHAUS-  
UNIVERSITÄT WEIMAR.

WEIMAR, (DATE OF THE FACULTY BOARD RESOLUTION)

THE PRESIDENT

THE DEAN